## A (rare disease) Patient's **Approach to Innovative Clinical** Research **RareKidneyCancer.org** W.G. Paseman

bill@RareKidneyCancer.org

20240206

# Agenda

- \*Who am I? EHR
- Critique of Medical Innovation by FDA's Janet Woodcock
- A proposed Solution: Hackathons
  - Using Gamified Tumor Boards to advance Research on particular rare disease patients
- Next Gen approaches to automate data sharing and research
  - Digital Twins
  - Research Agents

## Who am I? - EHR

No SOC or CT for rare disease

- 201311 Diagnosed DVT Put on Warfarin
- 201402 Diagnosed second DVT (while on Warfarin)
  - JHH Diagnosed with Kidney Cancer and Brain Tumor (1 x 1.2 x 0.8 cm)
- 201403 Total left Nephrectomy Pathology indicated papillary Renal Cell Carcinoma
- 201406 Declined EVEREST (adjuvant) Clinical Trial
  - I asked 13 physicians: Yes: 3; No: 5; Patient must decide: 5
  - Key Opinion: "I do not recommend any adjuvant trial w/ mTOR inhibitors or VEGF targeted agents for papillary RCC. There will be trials with immune checkpoint agents in the near future, but not soon enough to enroll on."
- 201602 NIH Pathology indicates p1RCC (indolent Yaaa!)
- 202303 Meningioma hits limits for Radiation (1.8 x 1.6 x 1.5 cm 2.51 cc)
  - I asked 14 physicians photon guys said use photon; proton guys said use proton
  - Key: One with both said use photon; One said "Ask about the mechanic, not the tools"
  - Did Photon at UCSF
- 202309 1.8 x 1.5 x 1.6 cm 2.43 cc

https://thepatientstory.com/patient-stories/kidney-cancer/bill-p/

# Agenda

- Who am I? EHR
- \* Critique of Medical Innovation by FDA's Janet Woodcock
- A proposed Solution: Hackathons
  - Using Gamified Tumor Boards to advance Research on particular rare disease patients
- Next Gen approaches to automate data sharing and research
  - Digital Twins
  - Research Agents

# "It's Not Working"\*

- The current structure of the academic research discourages <u>collaboration</u>, <u>Grant review</u> processes, <u>promotion</u> criteria and even the concept of <u>tenure</u> ought to be reconsidered.
- In addition the process of creating and commercializing a drug has been hindered by <u>corporate secrecy</u>. When the science is valuable and "not to be shared," drug trials are fragmented and costly.
- The goal isn't just <u>improving knowledge</u>. The goal isn't <u>FDA</u> <u>approval</u>. The goal is to <u>improve human health</u>
- As researchers aim to conquer rare diseases, for example, patients and <u>advocacy groups</u> have become more than just cheerleaders for innovation — they've <u>become active participants in finding solutions</u>.

<u>\* FDA's Janet Woodcock 20190902 LA Times</u>

## Let's try something different

Baseline Approach:

Big teams doing the same things slowly and often secretly without any patient involvement.

Goal: Improve knowledge, FDA approval Hackathon Approach

Small teams doing different things quickly and openly with patient involvement.

**Goal: Improve Health** 

## Small Teams doing different things

## Cancer Research is done with big teams

Field	% of Papers with <4 Authors
Economics	85%
Astronomy + Astrophysics	41%
Genetics	21%
Cancer	12%

This surprised me because...

- NY Times: Can Science be too Big?
  - Big Teams confirm findings
  - Small Teams generate new ideas
  - Disruption is inversely proportional to author count
- Small teams are more agile
  - Small enables closely space milestones (speed)
  - Closely spaced milestones keep you on track

### Researchers and Patients view time differently Quickly

- Different Units of Measure
  - Researchers time measurement: yearly conferences and tenure tracks
  - Patients time measurement: PFS, OS, months between treatments; months until death
- Time pressure affects Motivation (for all you patients out there)
  - Researchers are motivated to win
  - (Some) Patients are desperate to win
    - 49ers Quarterback Steve Young: Everyone wants to win. Steve was desperate to win •
  - Question to patients in the audience: Can you do something if your life depended on it?
    - If "yes" and you are not doing it now, it is a motivation issue, not a training issue •
    - Hippocrates
      - It's far more important to know what person the disease has than what disease the person has
      - If you are not your own doctor, you are a fool.

# Openly

- Now
  - Poor Reproducibility (<u>Bayer</u>) 25% replication
  - Poor Reporting (<u>alltrials.net</u>)
  - Current problem: e.g. 20240123 Dana Farber retracts 6 studies

# In a Cancer Researchers' social network...

## In this case, interpersonal team interactions form an extensive social network



**BLUE = Clinic Team** 

Principal investigator Research Coordinator Pathologist Physician

...



Rarekidneycancer.org | 20240206

 $\oplus$ 

Θ

From "Cancer Clinical Trials - Team Issues" - 20190719

## With Patient Involvement Peanuts

#### In this case, interpersonal team interactions form an extensive social network



Principal investigator **Research Coordinator** Pathologist Physician



Gerber DE et al. J Oncol Practice 2016;12:1020-1028.

20240206

Rarekidneycancer.org

 $\oplus$ 

Θ

**BLUE = Clinic Team** 

From "Cancer Clinical Trials - Team Issues" - 20190719

## With Patient Involvement A "Seat at the Table" when its not your table

- Proposal: Renal Cancer incidence in Native Americans
- My Comments
  - Record if its a rare cancer
    - "Rate of Renal Cell Carcinoma Subtypes in Different Races"
  - Record Occupation
    - My "lived Experience":
      - Lots of Native Americans in Texas, Oklahoma and the Dakotas
      - Lots of Petrochem jobs in Texas, Oklahoma and the Dakotas
- All points rejected, none recorded.
- T-test comment not acted on.

# Do something different, or get more of the same

Trends in Age-adjusted Cancer Death Rates by Site, Males



- We spend \$150B treating the disease, yet a cancer patient is as likely to die of it today as one was 50 years ago.
- And most new drugs add mere months to ones life at agonizing physical and financial cost.
- The status quo is intolerable.
- The question is: What can be done?

\*The First Cell – Azra Raza

# Agenda

- Who am I? EHR
- Critique of Medical Innovation by FDA's Janet Woodcock
- \*A proposed Solution: Hackathons
  - Using Gamified Tumor Boards to advance Research on particular rare disease patients
- Next Gen approaches to automate data sharing and research
  - Digital Twins
  - Research Agents

## A proposed Solution: Hackathons

**Baseline Approach:** 

Big teams doing the same things slowly and often secretly without any patient involvement. Hackathon Approach

Small teams doing different things quickly and openly with patient involvement.

**Goal: Improve Health** 

Goal: Improve knowledge, FDA approval

If you don't like someone else's party, throw your own

## A proposed Solution: Hackathons

**Clinical Trial**: one Researcher many Patients



# 2018 p1RCC Hackathon Teams



# Clinical vs. Research Data



James Watson: targeting metabolism is a more promising avenue in current cancer research than gene-centered approaches. 20160515 NYT

- Genetics- brother has thyroid cancer
- Genomics TCGA Thyroid cancer clusters close to p1RCC
- Metabolomics High Uric Acid -"Thyroid hormones influence kidney function and thereby might alter serum urate levels, a major risk factor for gouty arthritis."
- Co-morbidities Bradycardia (Slow Heart Rate): "hypothyroidism results in an insufficient amount of thyroid hormone which leads to a slower heart rate
- Dental Records

# 2018 p1RCC <u>DNA</u> Hackathon Process

TCGA Data Bill Data (DNA)

cancer-genome-workbench							
causalnucleotidenetwork							
RecausalNucleotideNetworks	1						
Aizheng	AKR1B10	BASP1P1	CLEC2B	CYP4F11	LINC00621	PLEKH01	PLEKHO2
BioMarkers.ai	DMRT2	FHL1	KNG1	PTGER3	UMOD		
DamTheRiver	AC139425.3	ACSM2A	ANO9	AQP12B	GRIN3B	HEXB	HIVEP3
GEViz	NRF2-ARE			-			
HelloKidney	ITGAM	TNFSF4					
KidneyBean	TUBB8						
studentec	AMPD2	DPP6	FLG2	FTMT	ST6GALNAC5		
trimericOGs	AGBL4	ARIDA1	CUL-2	HPSE2	LAMC-1	SK3	TRABD2B
DeeperDrugs	BARD1	APOB	CDK9	TTRAP			
GNOME	BARD1	PDE4DIP	AHNAK	ANAPC1	BCLAF1	DNAJ27	PABPC1
HelloKidney2		PDE4DIP	FOLH1	GDNF	MTHFR	PFKP	PSMA
codeomics						MTOR	PIK3CA
HSIEH	SETD2	NF2	BAP1	KDM6A	PBRM1	MTOR	PIK3CA
ExpressForce	SETD2	NF2	BAP1	KDM6A	PBRM1	FGFR1	ARID1A
HIF1AIsNotAnOncogene					No. of Street,	FGFR1	CDK4

#### 10 Up Weighted Classifications ( Genes)

- BARD1
- PDE4DP
- SETD2
- NF2
- BAP1
- KDM6A
- PBRM1
- MTOR
- PIK3CA
- FGFR1

10

# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 25 October 2018 Accepted: 28 January 2019 Published online: 27 February 2019

# OPENLinking Binary Gene Relationships<br/>to Drivers of Renal Cell Carcinoma<br/>Reveals Convergent Function in<br/>Alternate Tumor Progression Paths

William L. Poehlman<sup>1</sup>, James J. Hsieh<sup>2</sup> & F. Alex Feltus<sup>1</sup>

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) subtypes are characterized by distinct molecular profiles. Using RNA expression profiles from 1,009 RCC samples, we constructed a condition-annotated gene coexpression network (GCN). The RCC GCN contains binary gene coexpression relationships (edges) specific to conditions including RCC subtype and tumor stage. As an application of this resource, we discovered RCC GCN edges and modules that were associated with genetic lesions in known RCC driver genes, including VHL, a common initiating clear cell RCC (ccRCC) genetic lesion, and PBRM1 and BAP1 which are early genetic lesions in the Braided Cancer River Model (BCRM). Since ccRCC tumors with PBRM1 mutations respond to targeted therapy differently than tumors with BAP1 mutations, we focused on ccRCC-specific edges associated with tumors that exhibit alternate mutation profiles: VHL-PBRM1 or VHL-BAP1. We found specific blends molecular functions associated with these two mutation paths. Despite these mutation-associated edges having unique genes, they were enriched for the same immunological functions suggesting a convergent functional role for alternate gene sets consistent with the BCRM. The condition annotated RCC GCN described herein is a novel data mining resource for the assignment of polygenic biomarkers and their relationships to RCC tumors with specific molecular and mutational profiles.

## Parents, Siblings, Cohort Genetics



KIRP	Cyan	
KIRC	Black	
KICH	Red	
LIHC	Coral	
THCA	Magenta	
CHOL	Blue	
UVM	Orange	
ACC	Lime	
Others Light Gray		

### 2018 QuantumInsights.io DQC

## 2020 p1RCC <u>RNA</u> Hackathon Process



#### **Cellular State Transformations Using Deep Learning for Precision Medicine Applications**

#### **Graphical Abstract**



#### **Highlights**

We present the Transcriptome State Perturbation Generator

#### **Authors**

Colin Targonski, M. Reed Bender, Benjamin T. Shealy, Benafsh Husain, Bill Paseman, Melissa C. Smith, F. Alex Feltus

#### Correspondence

ffeltus@clemson.edu

#### In Brief

Cells express genes in unique combinations that enable distinct functions. Using machine learning, we present an algorithm that takes a source gene expression snapshot and learns how to change it to mimic a target snapshot. We applied the Transcriptome State Perturbation Generator algorithm to learn which genes have changed in a single patient's tumor relative to a normal tissue sample. By knowing which gene expression changes are required to leave a normal state in a single person, it is possible to design therapeutic strategies tailored for that patient.

### GAN Generation

## Merging 2018 and 2020 Results

Team - 2018	Gene	BP-Tumor -2020	
studentec	FLG2	-0.569807	• 2018 p1RCC
BioMarkers.ai	FHL1	-0.370446	σ σ – 119 recommended Genes
HelloKidney2	TAS2R19	-0.363179	→ 2020 p1RCC
ExpressForce	TERT	-0.358329	- 6 Normalized
HelloKidney2	TYMS	-0.287382	<ul> <li>p1RCC patient's RNA genes</li> </ul>
			gonee
trimericOGs	HPSE2	0.567236	<ul> <li>BioMarkers.ai sorted to</li> </ul>
BioMarkers.ai	PTGER3	0.59603	either end of the chart.
BioMarkers.ai	DMRT2	0.621588	<ul> <li>Perhaps diagnostic</li> </ul>
BioMarkers.ai	UMOD	0.657959	<ul> <li>Likely not therapeutic</li> </ul>
BioMarkers.ai	KNG1	0.668831	

## Leaderboard Openly

Team - 2018	Gene	BP-Tumor -2020	Approach	-
studentec	FLG2	-0.56	9807 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/studentec
BioMarkers.ai	FHL1	-0.37	0446 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	VAI/Biomarkers.AI
HelloKidney2	TAS2R19	-0.36	3179 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/HelloKidney2
ExpressForce	TERT	-0.35	8329 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/ExpressForce
HelloKidney2	TYMS	-0.28	7382 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/HelloKidney2
trimericOGs	HPSE2	0.56	7236 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/trimericOGs
BioMarkers.ai	PTGER3	0.5	9603 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	VAI/Biomarkers.AI
BioMarkers.ai	DMRT2	0.62	1588 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	VAI/Biomarkers.AI
BioMarkers.ai	UMOD	0.65	7959 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	VAI/Biomarkers.AI
BioMarkers.ai	KNG1	0.66	8831 <u>https://github.com/S</u>	SVAI/Biomarkers.AI

## **Therapeutic Options**



## TBD: Wetlab

- Travera
  - 20 wells on a tray
  - Each with fresh tumor
  - And a different Treatment in each well
- Rare Cancer Research Foundation
- https://www.arctoris.com/
  - Cell Line Labs

## Biomarkers.ai - 2018

- KNG1 uses alternative splicing to generate two different proteins: High MWt kininogen (HMWK) and MWt kininogen (LMWK). HMWK is essential for blood coagulation and assembly of the kallikrein-kinin system. This might explain my medical history.
  - Got warfarin/coumadin for diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis
  - DVT Symptoms returned. Went back and found: 7 cm mass left kidney, cerebral meningioma and spots in lung.
- Uromodulin (encoded by UMOD; also known as Tamm-Horsfall protein) is the most abundant protein in mammalian urine under normal physiological conditions.
  - UMOD can distinguish Normal Tissue from p1RCC with 100% accuracy.
  - Is UMOD also a good urine-based biomarker for p1RCC?
- FHL1 was an indicator for petrochemical exposure. For a time I worked in chemical refineries and on oil rigs. This might be the source of my somatic mutation.

## Can Science be too Big?





## Patient Centered Game Elements Ensemble Learning

"Patient Centered"

- Patients view themselves as having a "rare disease" that is not served well by cohort analysis. We hope to use sibling and parent genetic data as a "control" in future events.
- Patients themselves host and maintain control of the event and are responsible for providing their own data.
- Data Control allows patients to create a current, longitudinal record over time for each subsequent hackathon as their disease develops.

"Game Elements"

- Hackathon participants are divided up into teams.
- The Game has "levels" which include diagnosis and therapeutic recommendations.
- Team's results are "scored" which helps the Patient prioritize future research approaches.
- Scores can be posted on a LeaderBoard, which allows sharing of Research Approaches. "Treat Research Teams as formal computational objects"
- Apply an "Ensemble Learning" technique called "bucket of models".
- For each model m in the bucket:
- Do c times: (where 'c' is some constant)
- Randomly divide the training dataset into two datasets: A, and B.
- Train m with A; Test m with B
- Select the model that obtains the highest average score

# Agenda

- Who am I? EHR
- Critique of Medical Innovation by FDA's Janet Woodcock
- A proposed Solution: Hackathons
  - Using Gamified Tumor Boards to advance Research on particular rare disease patients
- \* Next Gen approaches to automate data sharing and research
  - Digital Twins
  - Research Agents

# Next Gen approaches to automate data sharing and research

#### Now: Competition (Gamification)



Next: Automation

- Process Basically, Hackathons are multiarmed clinical trials for research processes.
  - Scale Hackathons up and make them faster.
  - Automate creation of Hackathon variants.
- Researcher(s)  $\rightarrow$  LLM Agent team members
  - Chatbot  $\rightarrow$  ResearchBot
- Patient(s)  $\rightarrow$  LLM Agent Digital Twins
  - HIPAA Not an issue for me
    - Need to do better later
  - EHR  $\rightarrow$  DigitalTwin
    - Need to Represent Time Well
    - Integrate Genomics/Radiology later
    - Diagnosis vs hallucinations
- $\bullet$  Data  $\rightarrow$  Genomic GANS for rare diseases

## **Final Word**

An obvious point needs to be made explicitly here. Though I contributed data on the front end of the process and did a few SQL table joins and sorts on the back end of the process, NONE of the biology is my work. It is the work of the many volunteer researchers who spent many hours exploring my data on my behalf. Thank You.

"If you work on frequent cancers, do randomized trials! If you work on rare cancers—find friends!"Olson, TA, Schneider, DT, Brecht, IB, et al. Rare tumors: a different perspective on oncology. In: Schneider, DT, Brecht, IB, Olson, TA, Ferrari, A, eds. Rare Tumors in Children and Adolescents. Berlin: Springer; 2012: 3–15.

## Acknowledgements

- Tissue: UCSF's Dr. Max Meng and Tasha Lea
- Sequencing: Yale's Dr. Kaya Bilguvar and Christopher Castaldi and UCLA's Dr. Brian Shuch
- Sequencing Experiment Specification and Validation: Mike D'Amour for specifying the sequencing experiment parameters and fastq Validation Process
- 2018 Venue Donation: Salesforce's Steve Tamm and Lisa Ferrier
- 2018 Hackathon Teams
- 2018 Biomarker.ai Lead: Dr. Saed Sayad
- 2018 and 2020 Hackathon Master of Ceremonies: Ben Busby
- 2020 Hackathon: The TRI-con organizer: Kaitlyn Barago of healthtech
- 2020 Hackathon: Research to the People Organizer: Pete Kane
- 2020 Hackathon: "Clemson's 2020 normalized cohort" creators: Reed Bender, Ben Shealy and Benafsh Hussain from Dr. Alex Feltus' group
- 2020 Hackathon: Therapeutic Recommendations: GeneXplain's Dr. Jeannette Koschmann
- 2018 and 2020 Target Identification: QuantumInsights.io's Bernard Chen and Marvin Weinstein
- 2018 Hackathon: sv.ai volunteers: Ryan Leung, Clayton Melina, Lily Vittayarukskul, Hunter Dunbar, Pete Kane, Bill, Dom Jones, Marguerite, David Schachter, Anabelle Tang. Nina Sardesh, Sean Davis

## 2018 p1RCC HackathonTeams

Team	Members	Summary
Alzheng	Alex Feltus, Ben Shealy, Colin Targonski, Courtney Shearer, Eddie Weill, Ken Matusow, Sufeng Niu, William Poehlman	Model TCGA-RCC tumors as a "time series" across stage
BioMarkers.ai	Peyman Mirtaheri, Saed Sayad, Usman Qazi	Candidate p1RCC Biomarkers and environmental factors influencing expression
cancer-genome-workbench	Betty, rene lopez, Rui, Sarah	Predict/classify a sample cancer type using genetic data with: Unsupervised clustering, Dimensionality reduction, Somatic SNPs, Data exploration
causalnucleotidenetwork	Arkarachai Fungtammasan, Naina Thangaraj, Ola Zalcman, Steve Osazuwa	Variational Autoencoder and tSNE clustering
codeOmics	Daniel Hornburg, Milena Duerrbaum	Biomarkers to precision drugs
DamTheRiver	Andrew Wallace, Christian Clough, Felix Frayman, Matt Callahan, Nandita Damaraju, Pak Yu, Sebastian Nguyen, William Wright	Identification of neo-antigens present within patient P1RCC sequence data

## 2018 p1RCC HackathonTeams

<u>DeeperDrugs</u>	Andrew Mills, Biter Bilen, Jeff Lam, Lei Tian, Michael D'Amour, Monika Maleszewska, Prasun Mishra, Tahera Zabuawala, XIAOWEI ZHU	Rigorous variant filtering and target pruning
ExpressForce	Amrit Virdee, Maricris Macabeo, Nikhil Balaji, Sofia Medina Ruiz, Yuri Bendana	Netflix for Genes
<u>geviz</u>	Maytas Monsereenusorn, Natnicha Vanitchanant, Navi Tansaraviput, Thanapat Worasaran	Gene Expression Visualization
GNOME	In-Hee Lee, Sek Won Kong	Prioritizing germline and somatic variants potentially associated with p1RCC
HelloKidney	Terje Norderhaug	Autoimmune Clues to Kidney Cancer
HelloKidney2	Clinton Mielke, Robert Van Spyk	Genetic Markers
HIF1AlsNotAnOncogene	Eric Danziger, Joshua Bloomstein, Stephanie Kinnunen, Wanlin Zheng	A preliminary case study in EGFR

## 2018 p1RCC HackathonTeams

<u>KidneyBean</u>	Bea Nguy, Eric Kalosa-Kenyon, James (3), Jay (3), Kallen Schwark, Kandy Nachimuthu, Mabel Furutsuki, Maninder Singh, Marcus Strauss, Rahim Hashim, Sam Rapp, Wessam Sonbol	Drug candidates towards personal medicine
RecausalNucleotideNetworks	Andrew Carroll, Jason Chin, Pi-Chuan Chang, Samantha Zarate	How Effective Are Illumina Methods for BGI-SEQ? 20180531 BLOG POST
studentec	Brian Hanley, Rush Tehrani	USING BIGQUERY FOR GENOMIC DATA ANALYSIS
trimericOGs	Christine Kim, Lily Vittayarukskul, Phoebe So, Rohith Krishna, Samson Mataraso, senay yakut	Classifying Tumor Stages based on Structural Variants in Patient Data